FACTORS INFLUENCING ASIAN UNIVERSITY STUDENTS' WILLINGNESS TO COMMUNICATE IN ENGLISH: A REVIEW OF ASIAN LITERATURE

Khalil Abdullah Aburezeq
University of Szeged, Hungary, email: Khalil.aburezeq@gmail.com

1. INTRODUCTION

Communication is a vital component in any language as it is a main reason to learn a second or a foreign language. Currently, educators come to conclusion that oral communication skills should be developed properly among students. To communicate in English is not an easy issue as it is found that some learners experience high levels of foreign language apprehension that inhibit their communication (Murad & Jalambo, 2019). Furthermore, reticence, willingness to communicate (WTC), apprehension and anxiety have become topics of research affecting students' WTC (Richmond & Mc Croskey, 1995). In addition, low motivation is found to be a major factor in WTC (Murad & Jalambo, 2019). Although motivation is a key element in learning a new language, it is not enough to be generated intrinsically and should be enhanced by external factors (Matsuoka & Evans, 2005). Further, explaining the factors contributing to WTC, Zrekat et al. (2016) mentioned the following: (1) to be monitored by others. (2) Unfamiliar situations. (3) Lacking information about the issue is being discussed. (4) Having low proficiency. In Asia, Littlewood (2002) added that Asian students are not highly WTC in EFL classes, and that attitude was not inborn, but it was a result of growing up in a cultural and educational environment in which a teacher was not considered as a facilitator of the learning process but as an authority.

In this article, I shed lights on the nature of the factors that affect the Asian university students' WTC. I reviewed some of the literature that was written in this field and reached a list of these factors.

2. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

In this article I collected some of the recent studies conducted in Asian countries focusing on the place where they were conducted and the results of these studies. Then, I presented the conclusion that summarized the factors. I followed the descriptive analytical approach through analyzing the studies to reach their findings. I used Google Scholar as the main source of the articles.

The first study found was Anh and Tran (2019) which examined the individual and situational factors affecting students' WTC in Vietnam. The study was conducted on a sample of 195 Vietnamese university EFL students and five EFL teachers. They found the individuals' factors (i.e. lacking of language proficiency, confidence, apprehension to make mistakes, and shyness) and situational factors (i.e. difficult tasks, unfamiliar topics, unfriendly teachers, and teachers' negative feedback) contributed to students' WTC. In the same context in Palestine, Murad and Jalambo (2019) tackled the key factors affecting the Palestinian EFL students' WTC. applied their study to 140 researchers participants. The following factors were revealed: Lacking of vocabulary, weak grammar, weak pronunciation, lacking of English fluency and self-confidence, having some shyness and anxiety, and weak role of EFL teachers to promote speaking skills among learners. In addition, In Saudi Arabia, Khan and Salam (2019) concluded that one of the factors contributing to WTC was the students' thinking of English as an alien language. One of the situational factors affecting the Palestinian students' WTC was group discussions (Abutaha & Aburezeq, 2019). Another study conducted in Saudi Arabia by Al-Otaibi (2016) showed that peer comparison, students' perceptions about their ability to speak, English grammar, and difficulties in understanding the teacher were the main factors affecting learners' WTC.

One of the psychological factors affecting students' WTC was apprehension as evidenced by Palestinian researchers; Abutaha and Aburezeq (2019) who investigated the degree of oral communication apprehension among English senior majors at Al-Quds Open University. They showed that apprehension was a factor contributing to students' WTC, and it was found moderate among the students. In Pakistan, the study of Soomro et al. (2019) revealed that apprehension was the main barrier of the students' WTC. In Sri Lanka, Rasakumaran, and Devi (2017) showed that higher levels of apprehension were found among females and this negatively impacted their WTC in English. Furthermore, in the context of Thailand, Ka-kan-dee (2017) showed that tourism students suffered from high degree of apprehension which prevented them from speaking English, especially when they presented a topic in English. In Iran, Rahmani and Croucher (2017) showed that apprehension was the main factor preventing Kurdish-Iranian minority groups to speak English. It was revealed that the Kurdish students experienced less apprehension than Iranian counterparts.

Throughout my review, I also found another two psychological factors; anxiety

and motivation as factors affecting university students' WTC. For instance, in Iran, Riasati (2018) investigated the Iranian EFL learners' WTC in English and the relationship between WTC and language learning anxiety and motivation. The results showed that WTC was negatively correlated with language learning anxiety, while positively correlated with language learning motivation and selfperceived speaking ability. Also, two studies in Japan were found, Hashimoto (2002) and Yashima (2002), who showed that the lack of anxiety affected students' WTC. In addition, in Malaysia, Leong and Ahmadi (2017) found that higher levels of anxiety, low selfesteem, and low motivation were the major factors inhibiting students' WTC. In addition, in China, Peng (2007) and Yu (2009) showed that motivation affected students' WTC.

3. CONCLUSION

Based on the review of the above literature, I came to a conclusion that the main factors influencing Asian university students' WTC in English were their lacking of proficiency (i.e. weak grammar, lacking of vocabulary, weak pronunciation, and lacking of fluency), some psychological factors (i.e. shyness, high levels of anxiety, low level of motivation, low self-esteem, high levels of communication apprehension, and lacking of confidence), and difficult tasks by teachers.

4. REFERENCES

- [1] Aburezeq, K., and Abutaha, (2018). The degree of using alternative assessment strategies by English preparatory teachers in Gaza governmental schools. Al Quds Open University Journal for Research in Psychology and Educational Sciences, 8(24), 1-18.
- [2] Al-Otaibi, G. (2016). Speech anxiety among EFL Arab college students. Language in India, 16(2), 83-100.
- [3] Hashimoto, Y. (2002). Motivation and willingness to communicate as predictors of reported L2 use: The Japanese ESL context. Second Language Studies, 20(2), 29-70.

- [4] Holbrook, H. (1987). Communication apprehension: The quiet student in your classroom. ERIC Clearinghouse on Reading and Communication Skills Urbana IL. ERIC Digest.
- [5] Ka-kan-dee, M. (2017). Teaching strategies for the alleviation of tourism students' oral presentation anxiety. Modern Journal of Language Teaching Methods (MJLTM), 7(8), 146-165.
- [6] Khan, M. S., & Salam, A. R. (2019). Oral communication barriers facing Arab medical students. Indian Journal of Public Health Research & Development, 10(6), 1416-1420.
- [7] Le, T., Anh, T., & Tran, L. (2019). Factors Affecting EFL Students' Willingness to Communicate in Speaking Classes at the Vietnamese Tertiary Level. International Journal English Literature and Social Sciences, 4(2), 252-262
- [8] Leong, L., & Ahmadi, S. (2017). An analysis of factors influencing learners' English speaking skill. International Journal of Research in English Education, 2(1), 34-41.
- [9] Littlewood, W. (2002). Communicative language teaching. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- [10] Matsuoka, R., & Evans, D. (2005). Willingness to communicate in the second language. Journal of Nursing Studies, 4 (1), 3-12.
- [11] Murad, A. I. and Jalambo, M. O. (2019). EFL students' reluctance in participating in English speaking activities at University College of applied sciences: Challenges and solutions. Open Journal of Social Sciences, 7, 28-51.
- [12] Peng, J. (2007). Willingness to communicate in an L2 and integrative motivation among college students in an intensive English language program in China. University of Sydney Papers in TESOL, 2, 33–59.
- [13] Rahmani, D., & Croucher, S. (2017). Minority groups and communication apprehension. Journal of Intercultural Communication, 34. Retrieved from http://www.immi.se/intercultural/nr43/rahm ani.html.

- [14] Rasakumaran, A., & Devi, S. (2017). Oral communication apprehension among freshmen: A case in the faculty of medicine. Journal of Human Capital Development, 10(1), 19-32.
- [15] Riasati, M. J. (2018). Willingness to speak English among foreign language learners: A causal model. Cogent Education, 5 (1), 1455332.
- [16] Richmond, P., & McCroskey, C. (1995). Communication: Apprehension, avoidance, and effectiveness (4th Ed). Scottsdale, AZ: Gorsuch Scarisbrick.
- [17] Soomro, A., Siming, A., Channa, M. A., Shah, R., & Abbasi, M. (2019). An analysis of English oral communication apprehension and anxiety of engineering undergraduates in Pakistan. International Journal of English Linguistics, 9(2), 162-173.
- [18] Yashima, T. (2002). Willingness to communicate in a second language: The Japanese EFL context. The Modern Language Journal, 86(1), 54–66. https://doi.org/10.1111/modl.2002.86.issue-1
- [19] Yashima, T., Zenuk-Nishide, L., & Shimizu, K. (2004). The influence of attitudes and affect on willingness to communicate and second language communication. Language Learning, 54(1), 119-152.
- [20] Yu, M. (2009). Willingness to communicate of foreign language learners in a Chinese setting. (Unpublished doctoral dissertation). Florida State University, USA.
- [21] Zhang, X. (2004). Language anxiety and its effect on oral performance in classroom. Retrieved on, 14 July 2020 from: https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/30c7/d7434 2d3921ffc2fd4e618e77dd74eb396df.pdf?_ga=2.48367716.330551780.1595679421-1151857485.1585760316
- [22] Zrekat, Y., Abu Bakar, N., & Latif, H. (2016). The level of anxiety among Jordanian EFL undergraduates in oral communication performance. Arab World English Journal (AWEJ), 7(3), 188-20.